



Fig. 9 — The arc condition and short circuit frequency quality parameters for a weld with a defect at 8.7 s. Because of the settings of the constants in Table 1, the defect detection algorithm flagged the weld because of the arc condition number (more than 2 out of 2 out) but not because of the short circuit frequency (only 1 out of 10 out). The same legend is used as in Fig. 5.

tive weld (arc condition, resistance and voltage flagged the weld). The algorithms did not flag any of the defect-free welds using the same defect detection constants identified in Part 1 (Table 2).

The overall statistics for Series II tests were five of six welds with defects were flagged, and 520 of 520 defect-free welds were not flagged. The defect that was not flagged was caused by a piece of spatter buildup on the gas nozzle falling into the weld pool causing a small pore and a lump in the weld bead.

Conclusions

1) A sensing strategy for welding defects has been developed. Algorithms process the recorded current and voltage signals to produce quality parameters. The quality parameters are then compared to a variable threshold based on records of defect-free welds.

2) The weld sensing system was sensitive to melt-throughs due to thin sections, loss of shielding gas and oily parts that cause surface and subsurface porosity. The system could not consistently detect off-joint welds for the 3-mm leg-length fillets tested or large root openings causing melt-throughs.

3) With the defect-detection algorithm in place, the entire system was tested in production on two factory floors. Five of six defective welds were flagged; 520 defect-free welds were not flagged.

4) The raw current and voltage signals are not enough to detect all defects in constant-voltage GMAW under the conditions studied. When the signals are passed through the described algorithms, the sensitivity to defects is increased.

References

1. Araya, T., and Saukawa, S. 1992. Recent activities on sensing and adaptive control of arc welding. *Proc. 3rd International Conference on Trends in Welding Research*, eds. S. A. David and J. M. Vitek, ASM International, Materials Park, Ohio, pp. 833-842.

Table 3 — The Quality Parameters That Flagged the Five Defects in Series II, Part 1, Using the Defect Detection Constants in Table 2

Defect Description	1 3-mm pore	2 3-mm pore	3 3-mm pore	4 70-mm surface porosity	5 3-mm pore
Average Current				•	
Average Voltage			•	•	
Average Resistance	•		•	•	
Arc Condition Number				•	•
Short-Circuit Frequency				•	
VoltageTrend				•	
Current Trend				•	

2. Smartt, H. B. 1992. Intelligent sensing and control of arc welding. *Proc. 3rd International Conference on Trends in Welding Research*, eds. S. A. David and J. M. Vitek, ASM International, Materials Park, Ohio, pp. 843-851.

3. Sicard, P., and Levine, M. D. 1988. An approach to an expert robot welding system. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* 18(2):204-222.

4. Hanright, J. 1986. Robotic arc welding under active control — a survey of current technology. *Welding Journal* 65(11):19-24.

5. Richardson, R. W. 1986. Robotic weld joint tracking systems — theory and implementation methods. *Welding Journal* 65(11):43-51.

6. Madigan, R. B., and Quinn, T. P. 1993. Control of arc length during gas metal arc welding using arc light sensing. *Proc. Modeling and Control of Joining Processes*, ed. T. Zacharia, American Welding Society, Miami, Fla., pp. 125-133.

7. Johnson, J. A., Carlson, N. M., Smartt, H. B., and Clark, D. E. 1991. Process control of GMAW: sensing of metal transfer mode. *Welding Journal* 70(4):91-s to 99-s.

8. Song, J.-B., and Hardt, D. E. 1993. Closed-loop control of weld depth using a thermally based depth estimator. *Welding Journal* 72(10):471-s to 478-s.

9. Kohn, G., and Siewert, T. A. 1986. The effects of power supply response characteristics on droplet transfer of GMAW welds. *Proc. International Conference on Trends in Welding Research*, ed. S. A. David, ASM International, Materials Park, Ohio, pp. 299-302.

10. Siewert, T. A., Madigan, R. B., and

Quinn, T. P. 1993. Sensing of Gas Metal Arc Welding Process Characteristics for Welding Process Control. United States Patent No. 5,221,825.

11. Ludewig, H. W., Siwicke, J. H., Kilty, A. L., Wallace, B. L., Haytcher, S. A., and Rees, D. E. 1996. Method for Arc Welding Fault Detection. United States Patent No. 5,521,354.

12. Sanders, L., West, M., and Norrish, J. 1998. Real-time irregularity detection in gas metal arc welding. *Proc. 8th International Conference on Computer Technology in Welding*, American Welding Society, Miami, Fla.

13. Rehfeldt, D., and Polte, T. 1998. Systems for processing monitoring and quality assurance in welding. *Proc. 8th International Conference on Computer Technology in Welding*, American Welding Society, Miami, Fla.

14. Quinn, T. P., and Madigan, R. B. 1998. Sensing of Arc Welding Process Characteristics for Welding Process Control. United States Patent No. 5,756,967.

Appendix

Quality Parameters

The seven quality parameters are calculated by sampling the current and voltage continuously at a sampling rate f (typically > 4000 samples per second). After every N samples, the sampled current and voltage data are passed through several algorithms which produce quality

